



MINUTES OF THE BUCKSKIN FIRE DEPARTMENT DISTRICT FIRE BOARD
06/22/21-Open Meeting: Minutes to be approved at open public meeting on Tuesday, 07/20/2021. A Budget Workshop and Public Hearing of the Buckskin Fire Board convened on June 22, 2021, that started at 6:00 pm in the classroom of the Buckskin Fire District, located at: 8500 Riverside Drive, Parker, AZ 85344 that convened at 6:00 pm. The following matters were discussed at the Open Meeting

Public Hearing

1. Call to Order: *Budget Hearing open at 6:00 pm*
2. Roll Call.
Members Present: *Chairman Jeff Daniel, Don Rountree, John Mihelich, Jeff McCormack, and Wayne Posey.*
Staff Present: *Chief Maloney, Barbara Cole, Captain Weatherford, Captain Chambers, Lt. Fernandes, FF Maxwell, and FF Anderson.*
Public Present: *Amanda Weatherford*
3. (Discussion): Open to the Public for Comments.
No Comments from the Public
4. Adjourn: Budget Hearing Closed:
Public Budget Hearing closed at 6:02 pm

Agenda Open Meeting

5. Convene into Open Meeting: *6:03 pm*
6. **Call to the Public.** Consideration and discussion of comments from the public. *Those wishing to address the Buckskin Fire District Board need not request permission in advance Pursuant to A.R.S. § 38-431.01(G), the Fire District Board is not permitted to discuss or take any action on items raised in the call to the public that is not specifically identified on the Agenda. However, individual Board members may be permitted to respond to criticism directed to them. Otherwise, the Board may direct that staff review the matter or ask that the matter be placed on a future agenda. Public input is limited to two (2) minutes per session unless a greater amount of time is approved by the Board. **The Fire District Board cannot discuss or take legal action on any issue raised during the Call to the Public that is not on the current Agenda; due to restrictions of the Open Meeting Law.***
No public comments.



7. Approval of Minutes submitted for the Open Meetings held on May 11, 2021.

Chairman Jeff Daniel, opened with comments regarding the last meeting. He stated that this was his third term, and we haven't had minutes like this in quite a long time. If any board members would like to make a comment on what was said and how it was conducted, but I do have a few comments in the reference to the way a few of the things had been stated in our last meeting in reference to a few of the board members were unprofessional and I feel that things had been said that I feel were inappropriate. As the Chair, I am the one who is supposed to direct the meetings and conduct it and I give every board member the chance and the opportunity to speak and I have never stated to any of the board members, John, for example, you can't talk, nor anybody. But we as a board members need to remember we are board members; we are elected by the public, and I feel we need to perform our duties professionally and I think that last meeting was out of hand. It was partially my fault, so I will apologize to the other board members in reference to that. I don't think we need in the near future or for the next board members down the line the minutes to be this detailed in our minutes, but during the time I was reading them, I realized why they wanted them to be very specific in reference to the context and how things were handled and presented, and things were said. Like I said, we've not had these types of minutes in quite a long time, we've always been very brief of what was said, what was voted on and a few lines here and there and continued on. So, if there is any other board member that would like to make a statement or comment in reference to what actually transpired at our last meeting, like I've said everyone has the opportunity to speak, any board member has that option, I will leave it up to you, but only in a professional manner. John Mihelich, I usually have a sharp tongue, and I apologize to the board members and anyone that if I made any comments that were inappropriate. Wayne Posey, I do appreciate the full transparency of the minutes that presents the issue at hand, and I think it is important to capture the board discussion that we had, i apologize if I overreacted. Chairman Daniel expressed his gratitude in the apologies, and asked any of the other board members, Don Rountree expressed he was glad they had a detailed conversation at the last meeting, and that it probably wasn't done in the right context, and everybody likes the 8 minute meetings, but you can't have very many of these without coming up with something like that and I've been on enough boards and attended enough meetings that eventually the tide is going to turn and we have to face the issues whether we like it or not. Chairman Daniel, I have no problem with facing issues and talking for two or three hours, but we've been very fortunate we've had short meetings, and, in the past, we've had very long meetings, I'm very aware of that. It is how we conduct ourselves as a board member, that is more of my concern, than how long the meeting is. Every issue we can talk it out, but how we conduct ourselves is to be professional on how we do that, which is my concern. I just hope we can move forward and be professional when we do this. There are no other comments, except that the Chair wanted to express his concern on how the prior meeting was conducted.

Motion made by John Mihelich for the approval of Minutes for the meeting of 05/11/2021 as per written, along with a second from Don Rountree.

There were no comments or questions prior to voting.

Ayes: Daniel, Rountree, Posey, Mihelich & McCormack.

Nays:

Absent:

Abstain:

Chairman Daniel: Motion passed by a unanimous vote on agenda item #7.



8. (Action Item): Discussion and Possible Action: Acceptance of monthly expense report 05/01/21 – 05/31/21. All transactions approved by Administrative Fire Chief; documentation for these expenses were reviewed and authorized by a minimum of two Fire Board Members whose signatures are on the warrants issued to vendor(s), as per Buckskin Fire District policy and procedures. *Board member Posey asked a few questions regarding the P & L regarding specific accounts, that include Parker Fire, which was answered by Barbara Cole, his question in reference to the expenses that Parker Fire is paying for, which were all correct, except Barbara stated that the medical insurance line item, is actually a fixed BFD cost and did not actually change whether Parker IGA was part of Buckskin or not it is only an allocation. A couple of other questions were asked about the Wildland P & L. Motion was made by Don Rountree to approve the monthly financial reports and expense reports for 05/01/2021-05/31/21, along with a second from John Mihelich.*

There were no comments or questions prior to voting.

Ayes: Daniel, Rountree, Posey, Mihelich & McCormack.

Nays:

Absent:

Abstain:

Chairman Daniel: Motion passed by a unanimous vote on agenda item #8.

9. (Discussion and Possible Action): Board to review current IGA agreement for compensation only for the Chief and Financial services.

Discussion regarding the compensation for the Chief and financial service regarding the Parker IGA agreement, Chairman turned it over to the Chief, he stated he had nothing for the board from last meeting, we disagree with what was discussed, but tell him what you want to do. Mr. Posey, state there was nothing presented in the board package, Chief stated that they already had the Chief's proposal from the last meeting and there was nothing new. Barbara asked the board if the board needed another copy, but they all declined. Mr. Posey, asked if that was the one with paying the Chief a salary of \$33,626 and paying Barbara an additional \$28,498? Barbara stated yes, but no PSPRS, Mr. Posey requested to propose his points. Your proposed total for the Parker Fire, amounts to a 37% increase for admin staff a 63.8% increase in compensation. I'll start with the Chief first. The Chief currently makes \$102k, and with the additional compensation of the 33,626 plus the additional \$4,102. going into a 457 account, that brings your salary up to \$139k, which is approximately 38k increase which is a 37% increase. On the admin side, current salary is approx. 51k, Barbara stated no its not. Wayne, according to the budget it is. Barbara, you didn't approve the 4% that everyone else did. Wayne, well its 2% less then. Barbara no, its 4% less, Chief you excluded her at the last meeting, Wayne ok, well I don' have that exact number so if its 4% less, lets round it out to 50k. Barbara stated, its approx., 48.5. Wayne, the additional compensation of 28.4 k, for Parker Fire, plus additional 3860 for a 457 plan. Barbara, no, its 10% less, I believe your



using, but it is still close to, total compensation to around 80k, with your current compensation plus the additional compensation from BFD of 48k, plus your retirement, Barbara, what retirement Wayne? I'm just saying for taking on these additional duties, so your salary is up to 83k. Wayne, now the Buckskin fire Department, Chiefs current salary range is that the board approved is 85k to \$112k that was the board salary range approved last year. But your salary has been exceeding that salary range all year long, and on the admin side, salary range has been 45k to 59k, again, all year long exceeding the salary range. When we talk about fair compensation, I went back, and I looked at what the new chief in Havasu is getting. The Havasu Chief is getting \$106k to \$127k, that's their pay range. He's got 5 stations, they have approximately 25 call per day, fire inspection, paramedics and their normal response time is around 5 minutes. So, when I look at total compensation, I look at, had we consolidated with the town of Parker Fire department, what salary range would he fall into., and that was my way of thinking of what the proper compensation would be. So, I look at Havasu and how big Havasu is and what their salary range is, and had done an official consolidation with Parker, what is Buckskin Fire department size comparable to Havasu. So, nothing against the Chief or expertise to do it, as a Board member I'm here so we don't get out of hand like other agencies that has happened to. Town of Parker is a good example. Paying for a manager cost plus also paying for an HR cost, making that 200k per year. We have a salary range, and we have established salary range, so I think the large increase that was actually developed by the Chief, without board approval, because we never agreed to you giving 10% of your salary to Barbara, we never agreed for Barbara to go from hourly to however she's getting paid, I'm not sure how she's getting paid. Barbara stated hourly. Wayne so you're taking that number and dividing it by some hourly rate, the board was never privy to any of that information. I think the main thing that I want to point out is that Parker Fire Department agreement states 20% Chiefs and at least 20% admin, yet the chief is getting compensated additional 37k (which is incorrect) and your getting compensated an extra 63% for 20% more work. The other issue I want to bring up, not issue, but the other benefit I want to bring up, which I don't think was brought up at the last meeting is that this board gave the Chief a 9.7% increase last year. He's going to say that he didn't get a 9%, but he did. The Board agreed to give, he had 4 weeks of vacation, the board, I didn't like it, I didn't agree to it, but the rest of the board agreed to give 4 additional weeks of vacation which amounts to 7.7% each year, take that vacation, if you bank that vacation, he can do whatever he wants with it, then we gave him 2%, so when we already compensated him, because he was already doing Parker Fire prior to last year's budget, we did compensate you another 10% last year. Chief stated go ahead, go ahead, and speak, I will wait my turn. Then you be quiet when I am speaking. Wayne, all I want to get across there is a salary range, and the job should fit into a salary range, and now I look at salary range of Havasu and what their salary range is for the Chief and at 127k, it just boggles my mind that how we can be paying a chief here more than the top out salary range



for Havasu. Jeff McCormack, asked about the allocation of 20% based on his original salary? Wayne based on the agreement. The agreement says that they will provide 20%. Fire Chief averages out to 8 hours a week. Wayne, the fire chief shall spend 20 % of his professional time, serving as PFD interim fire chief, shall also receive management and financial services determined as necessary to appropriate by the fire chief for the operation of PFD. So on the admin it wasn't really specified, but it was specified for the Chief. Jeff McCormack, is there a dollar amount that could be added to that 20% and make that 20% worth a value? Wayne, there is a value that the board can add with that additional effort. The wording is based on the position. But that's like you paying a waitress \$15 per hour for waiting on 5 tables then gets 10 tables and she wants \$30 per hour would you do that? Don Rountree, you keep saying there was no mention of receiving extra money, but he (the Chief) talked to me about getting extra money, I did know there was a plan from the beginning, I just didn't know what it was. I believe we hired him to run a two-station department and now he's running not only 3 stations, but a whole other Fire District. I believe that we should compensate him, he should be compensated for that. I do not know how he should be compensated; I do not have an answer for that. John Mihelich, well at this point I'm perfectly satisfied with Parker's spending for the salaries and wages. I was surprised and a little shocked, but those are not crazy rates for the jobs you guys do. So, I support that, like we talked about last month and I'm good with it. Chairman Daniel, one comment that he wanted to make is you are correct the Chief has a salary that we agreed upon when we hired him to run this station and number 2, so he's taking on another job in Parker, so I'm trying to understand what you are saying Wayne, is it that you're saying he should be doing it for the same price? Wayne no I never said that we have a fixed salary range. Chairman Daniel, right, but for what he has been hired to do initially, but what we had agreed to for him to go and take on Parker. Wayne, right, and what is that worth? Chairman, and what is a part-time Chief making Buckskin, Quartzite, wherever? Chief lets go back to Havasu comments, Wayne is right on all his numbers, but that Chief wouldn't come down here for my amount of money for anything because he doesn't have any staff. He has a huge staff there, his job is absolutely easier to do than what's going on here, as he has this giant staff that can take care of him. That chief does not run calls in the middle of the night, he doesn't answer phone calls, he's got a division chief, operational chiefs, battalion chiefs, so that is not really a fair comparison, but if you just want to look at the dollar then it is what it is. No arguments but understand those are two different things. This job here, he would come down here in a heartbeat and tell you this is a more difficult job than the one he has, because it is time consuming to take those calls. He's staying home on the holidays, he doesn't have to be on call, when he goes home, he gets to spend time with his family. He has one city council not two fire boards. With that being said, I am being paid the 102k for being here at Buckskin, it is a separate agreement, it is not part of my salary, or in that case we need to renegotiate my entire contract. That is a whole separate job, Parker wanted to hire me outside of here and



we chose not to do that because it didn't benefit Buckskin and at least we would bring some revenue in. This isn't a discussion about the value of a whole second job, it's not a third station, I would love for you to consolidate, and I would absolutely do it for a lot less, because I don't have two fire boards, we don't; have two payrolls, we don't have two financial statements, we don't have two audits that we have to do. It is absolutely two separate jobs. So, when we look at these things, you understand the Quartzsite Fire Chief makes 125k, the Ehrenberg Fire chief, that is not even full time makes 56k plus some benefits, the Bouse Fire Chief, barely works 8 hours a week and makes 43k to run Bouse. Parker is twice the size of Bouse, its twice the size of call volume than we do with Ehrenburg. So, when you look those numbers the 33k is not an outrageous amount of money whatsoever. Do I agree with that we didn't handle things properly, absolutely, that is why we are here to talk about it? But when it comes down to the numbers, the numbers are separate from the two separate jobs. It is not in my contract to run the Parker Fire District, not as duties assigned. If you guys were to open a third station, I would agree with Wayne 100%, guess what I agreed to work for 102k and we had opened a third station, I would have to eat it, but that is not what happened here, there is a separate legal contract and that's where that came from. So just understand where that's where we are coming from, same thing with her, Barbara, she picked up double the work, I didn't pick up double the work she did. She is running two separate businesses, this lady doesn't take a lunch, or a break, she just works if she does eat it is at her desk. She doesn't leave for breaks, takes care of business, and works hard, so when you look at that, she's making less than our lowest paid FF at Buckskin with benefits and combined she is making less than what the previous person was making working part-time, and she's been here going on her 5th year compared to 3 years. So, I don't for a second feel she is overpaid for anything she does if anything she is still underpaid for the job she does. But we look at this as separate, just a different perspective, but we consider them separate, I don't look at this as part of my Buckskin job, these are separate jobs and that is why it is a Contract. Once again if you want to consolidate with them, please do it would be so much easier to do and take that on and run it as a third station. If you did that, you are right, now I would have to eat it at that 102k, no doubt about it. I would walk away from all of it, and we would deal with it at the next contract negotiation. But that is where it's at. Chief, ultimately whatever you decide, I'm going to do it, and I'm going to do it well whether I like it or not, but I will let you know whether I agree with it or not, but I'm not going to argue and I'm going to come in and do the job every day and do it well. Wayne, a comment on what the Chief said, you make some valid point there, but when you're doing your 8 hours or whatever your supposed to do over there, it's being subtracted off time here. Chief, no. Wayne, so you're putting in 48 hours per week. Chief, I'm putting in close to 50 hours and I can show that. Wayne, so what I would agree to is if you're doing 20% of your work over there, I would agree to a 20% increase. If she is doing 50% more work over there, I would agree to the 50%, but the way it is set up right now 37k its inflated and I think if we can come



to an understanding, if your spending 20% of your time over there you should be compensated 20% more and if she is spending 50% more time there, and doing 50% more work than she was, she should be compensated 50%, but not those high numbers, that's all I'm saying. Chief, its well below market value. Wayne, but it's not a business to market value. Chief, I agree, but it is a Fire Chief and administrative to market value. Wayne, true but a lot of us could go out and pour concrete and make more money than an hourly rate than a fireman. Wayne, its market value, what I'm saying is you are looking at it as a separate job, but its not its part of a district. Chief, it is a mixture, and I don't; disagree with your philosophy, but I think it is below value and that one reason I don't like that number, but like I said I'm not going to argue with you about it. If that's the philosophy we run with, it is what it is. I think that, especially for her, you undervalue her and her position, and I struggle with that. Wayne, well I struggle with it, because with the current salary plus this addition it is 83k. Chief, it puts her where she needs to be. Wayne, and you agree with that? Everyone agrees with that, John Mihelich, I don't disagree with that. Don Rountree, I don't know if I agree with it, but I don't necessarily disagree with it either. Barbara started to speak, Wayne interjects, Barbara stated to Wayne, to let her talk. Barbara stated that Barbara Madison is no longer here, that was an 18k annual a year to work part-time, 2 days per week, answering phones and filing. Barbara asked the board, did you move that 18k over to me, no. Did I ask you for it, no, I did not? Parker is a whole brand-new mess, you have the Parker Fire Board Chair sitting in the back of the room, ask her if she likes the work that we have been doing for their financials from what they had before. Ask her what our Auditor stated at the last meeting, just ask her, she will verify. I do a lot of work for them, I had to bring a whole separate book, everything, up to date. You are paying for education and experience. Wayne is your education and experience worth something? Wayne, well in my job if there have been days where there is weeks and months where I do extra work, because I'm salaried and then there are days when I don't have as much work, so I don't do as much work, but when your salaried its different, but I don't disagree with you and the amount of work that you're doing over there. Barbara, so what are disagreeing with? Wayne I'm disagreeing with the way it was calculated. Barbara, the calculation was calculated because we gave you guys a sheet, and I'm going to tell you I went back on the original IGA, the minutes read there was a sheet passed out, and no one had a comment, you thought we were doing it for free, we didn't think so. It's a communication issue, that's all that was and for that to be said, we thought those numbers were correct. We came up with a formula, you guys didn't come up with a formula, we had no idea. But I know, I do a lot of work and I work all the time, you can ask anyone of these guys if I don't work when I'm here. You can be surprised in 10-hour days, how much efficiency counts and to say I'm not worth for what the hell I'm doing, I don't need Parker. Wayne, no one is saying you're not worth it, what I'm saying is your doing 60 hours work in a 40-hour week, so you're going to work harder if you're taking on 20 %. So, if you're doing 50%, I don't have a problem paying you 50%, absolutely have no problem. I just have a



problem with how he calculated it base on a third and gave her 10% there and was nothing we ever saw. Chief, first she is my employee, and I did give her a portion of my money and that's where that came from. That 10% was for the extra work she is doing. From my recommendation for staff is to separate these issues. My recommendation is to continue to pay her what she is currently being paid, because she is worth every single cent of it and more, and that's my recommendation. Chief, as far as mine, you guys tell me however you want, I expressed my opinion, I'm not here to debate any more, you tell me what it is worth and I'm going to go do it and if I don't like it, we'll discuss it two years when my contract is up. I will let you know whether I agree or disagree, but I'm not here to debate and argue with anybody. John, is this the only thing keeping the IGA from getting signed? Chief, its already executed, its an automatic renew, everything is going well, everybody is happy, Parker is happy.

John Mihelich, I would like to make a motion to accept the numbers that the Chief and financial analyst are currently making, the Chief at \$33,626 with no PSPRS Pension and Barbara at 28,498k with a deferral amount of \$3,477 to a 457 retirement account and to have two board members over the next year to do a comp study for Chief and financial analysis and we'll make a determination if whether that needs to be adjusted for the next budget year and that's all I have to say about that. Don Rountree, I would like to make a comment on that, I don't have a problem with that, but one of the things that I spent a little time on, not as much time as I should have, In the contract with Parker, are we fulfilling the contract without flaw and as far as that compensation? Don, if Mr. Whittington (BFD attorney), was sitting here and listening to all of this and reading of the contract would he say we are not violating any laws by doing what we're doing? Chief, absolutely, as he was the one that wrote the contract. Don, but not just the way he wrote it but the way we are administrating it. Chief, I don't see why he wouldn't.

Don, and that's why we're, unfortunately, we've had enough talks with Mr. Whittington to know that we don't want to push the Chiefs buttons, but he'll tell you? Chief, he will tell you its not illegal to overpay an employee. He is also going to tell you that the first month they went through, the P&L, that you guys approved the financials you executed a legal agreement, didn't matter if it was a misunderstanding or not, you entered into the agreement. You also entered into the agreement 9 more times, because every time you approved the P&L statement. Do I think Mr. Whittington would say yes, yes, I do? Now, would he turn around and say that you have the right to negotiate it anytime, yes, he would tell you that too. John, I believe the time to renegotiate would be a year from now. Wayne, I'm going to correct the Chief on that, just because the board approved the P&L statement doesn't mean we agreed to it. We've all been to open meeting law and when there is a motion it is supposed to be clear and when its an item on an agenda and only approve the IGA for Parker Fire, never approved compensation and you can take that to the Attorney General office, and you can have to pay it back because it was never approved at a board meeting. Chief, I disagree with



that. Chairman Daniel, John you made a motion and if someone would like to second the motion. Chairman Daniel did second the motion. Don Rountree and Jeff McCormack asked what the motion was, and John Mihelich repeated his motion to recommend that. Wayne interjected he would like to make a motion, Chairman Daniel stated there is already a motion on the floor. John continued with that he made the motion to leave those salaries the same, regarding the Parker IGA, that they've identified, and we've accepted the last 3 or 4 months, and we get one or two board members to do a comp study in rural communities, like Parker and adjust next year if indicated. John, I think we've spent a lot of time on this, and I think it is time to move on. Jeff McCormack asked to make a comment. I understand Wayne's point of view, and we need people like Wayne to keep us informed. I'm not sitting here going through the books and looking at all this stuff, and I'm already working 90 hours a week doing what I'm doing. The Chief has made many comments that people like Wayne are good to keep things in check and balances and that's fine, Wayne explained it to us like if I had a waitress that took on five more tables would I give her 50% more. I look at it more like, I'm running Roadrunner Bar & Grill and if someone asked me to do Fox's Bar & Grill for 20% more, I would tell them hell no! So, I think it is more like them taking on a whole other business, its not just 5 more tables. So, it's not that they are getting the money, it's just that you thought it was too much, to Wayne? Wayne, no what it was I felt that the 20% more for the Chief and 50 % for Barbara would be fair compensation and my motion would have been, but my motion failed, to go ahead and propose 20% increase to the Chief and 50% increase to admin for Chief and financial services. Chairman Daniel, we have motion on the table that John has made a motion for and again Chairman Daniel stated that he had second the motion. Any other questions or comments prior to voting? Chairman to John when you say year are you talking physical year? John, the beginning of the budget year starts, June fiscal year, by June 1st of next year. Wayne, spoke that he had one more comment, that I still want to make it perfectly clear what the total compensation is going to be, and the Chief's compensation is going to \$139k (incorrect) and Barbara's is going to 83k, roughly that, not exact numbers. Barbara no its not Wayne, I'm at 48k and you're backing off 10% to 28k. Barbara, I did not get a 4% raise. You used 50k, not my salary which is 48k. Chief the contract is a flat contract, it is totally separate. Chairman Daniel, so Barbara can you answer the question? Is anyone here in the Buckskin District paying any part of your extra salary from Parker IGA? Barbara, No. Chairman Daniel, same with the Chief? Barbara, correct. Chairman Daniel, so Parker Fire Department is paying for both of your extra salaries from the IGA with Parker and is not costing Buckskin taxpayers anything? Barbara, correct. Barbara, we had the Fire Board meeting with Parker last month, and they were handed out sheets showing the breakout of salaries, I have the minutes, Chief asked the Parker Fire Board if they understood what they are paying for and they were very clear that they all did and they were happy with the service. There were no other comments prior to voting.



Ayes: Daniel, Rountree, Mihelich & McCormack.

Nays: Posey

Absent:

Abstain:

Chairman Daniel: Motion passed by a majority vote on agenda item #9

10. (Discussion and Possible Action): Board approval to adopt the Buckskin Fire District Annual Budget and Tax rate of 3.25 for the fiscal year end 2021/2022.

Motion made by Don Rountree to adopt the Buckskin Fire District Annual Budget and tax rate of 3.25 for the fiscal year end 2021/2022, along with a second from John Mihelich.

There were no comments or questions prior to voting.

Ayes: Daniel, Rountree, Mihelich & McCormack.

Nays: Posey, with comment regarding the last item.

Absent:

Abstain:

Chairman Daniel: Motion passed by a majority vote on agenda item #10.

11. (Discussion and Possible Action): Board approval to adopt Resolution 2021-1, to adopt the 2021/2022 Budget and Tax Levy.

Motion was made by John Mihelich to adopt Resolution 2021-1 to adopt the Buckskin Budget and Tax Levy, along with a second from Don Rountree.

There were no other comments or questions prior to voting.

Ayes: Daniel, Rountree, Mihelich & McCormack.

Nays: Posey, with comment regarding the IGA item #9.

Absent:

Abstain:

Chairman Daniel: Motion passed by a majority vote on agenda item #11.

12. (Discussion and Possible Action): Possible Board approval to use reserve capital funds to replace HVAC units at Station 11.

Discussions between the Board, Chief and Captain Chambers, as he was the person in charge to get several bids regarding the HVAC units. Discussions included the size of the ACs that were being looked at, the manufacturers and the time frame of when they could be received. Also, the warranty of each of the different bids. It was noted that the electrical bid was for any HVAC unit that was chosen.

A motion was made from Don Rountree to approve the use of reserve capital funds to replace the HVAC units at Station 11 and we try to use Fayette company and if they cannot provide a reasonable delivery date, we move on to the next bid which would be Mercury



AC company, with a not to exceed a total of \$16,000 for the units, along with a second from John Mihelich.

There were not other comments or questions prior to voting.

Ayes: Daniel, Rountree, Posey, Mihelich & McCormack.

Nays:

Absent:

Abstain:

Chairman Daniel: Motion passed by a unanimous vote on agenda item #12.

13. (Discussion and Possible Action): Board discussion regarding reserve fund transfers to budget line items.

Discussion was turned over to Barbara Cole to explain to the board regarding the different reserve funds that show line-item transfers, such as Wildland that had been brought up at a previous meeting, that any use of the funds had to be brought back to the board for approval. She explained the way it is broken out on the budget shows that certain expenses are to be paid by Wildland funds, such as Wildland truck repairs and supplies, also to be able to transfer to the other fund accounts as stated on the budget for those amounts only and not for any new business, such as the items mentioned on Agenda item #12. A motion was made by Wayne Posey to allow for the ability to use the Wildland account to pay for the line items that were included in the budget and for the transfer of funds between the reserve accounts for the amounts noted on the budget but bring back any new business that was not included on the budget back to the board, along with a second from John Mihelich.

There were no other comments or questions prior to voting.

Ayes: Daniel, Rountree, Posey, Mihelich & McCormack.

Nays:

Absent:

Abstain:

Chairman Daniel: Motion passed by a unanimous vote on agenda item #13.

14. (Report) Chairman's Report: Jeff Daniel

No Chairman's Report.

15. Fire Chief's Report: *See Attached Reports.*

- A. Summary of Incident Report/Activity Log
- B. Monthly Activities
- C. Upcoming Activities and Events

16. Ask Board Members for *items to be considered for inclusion on next agenda.*



17. **Schedule next Regular Session or Workshop.**
Tuesday, July 20, 2021.

18. Adjourn of Regular Board Meeting: *7:13 pm.*

Jeff Daniel
Jeff Daniel, Chairman

7/20/21
Date

Barbara Cole
Office Administrator

06/30/2021
Date

Minutes approved by: *[Signature]*

Date: *7/20/21*

Minutes approved by: *[Signature]*

Date: *7/20/21*

PERSONS WITH A DISABILITY MAY REQUEST A REASONABLE ACCOMMODATION BY CONTACTING, TROY MALONEY, FIRE CHIEF OF BUCKSKIN FIRE DISTRICT (928) 667-3321 AT LEAST **48 HOURS** PRIOR TO THE SCHEDULED MEETING. FACILITIES ARE HANDICAPPED ACCESSIBLE.